

ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE
(worksheet 1)

1. DISTRICT Vale RESOURCE AREA Baker
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 1021 NAME Pedro mto
3. CATEGORY I DISTRICT RANK N/A

4. GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND

A. TOTAL PREFERENCE.....	<u>824</u>	AUMs
B. SUSPENDED NON USE.....	<u>272</u>	AUMs
C. ACTIVE PREFERENCE.....	<u>552</u>	AUMs
D. EXCHANGE OF USE.....	<u>1,795</u>	AUMs
E. CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (C+D).....	<u>2,347</u>	AUMs
F. WILD HORSE ALLOCATION.....	<u>-</u>	AUMs
G. TOTAL DEMAND (E+F).....	<u>2,347</u>	AUMs
H. TOTAL CAPACITY.....	<u>2,347</u>	AUMs
I. RECENT ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE*.....	<u>1,505</u>	AUMs
J. RECENT ACTUAL WILD HORSE USE.....	<u>-</u>	AUMs
K. RECENT TOTAL ACTUAL USE (I+J).....	<u>1,505</u>	AUMs

* IF ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE SUBSTANTIALLY EXCEEDS CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (E), WAS IT AUTHORIZED? - WAS AN EA PREPARED? -
IF AN EA WAS NOT PREPARED, EXPLAIN: N/A

5. GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS

- A. DOES THE GRAZING FILE HAVE A COPY OF A SIGNED AND CURRENT TERM PERMIT OR LEASE? yes
B. DOES THE TERM (BEGINNING AND ENDING DATES) LISTED IN GABS CORRESPOND TO THAT SHOWN IN THE FILE (H-4130-1.21G,H,I)? yes
C. IS BILLING IN ADVANCE, OR AFTER-THE-FACT? advance
DOES THE AMP AUTHORIZE AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING (H-4130-1.71D)? NO
HAS AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING BEEN INCORPORATED INTO THE PERMIT OR LEASE (H-4130-1.71D)? NO
D. IS THE PERMITTEE A GROUP, PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, OR CORPORATION? family partnership
IF SO, HAS AN "AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE" FORM BEEN FILED? NO

6. MANAGEMENT STATUS

IS ANY OF THE FOLLOWING SPECIFIED IN THE LEASE OR PERMIT (specify year): AMP _____ CRMP _____ FORMAL GRAZING PLAN X 1981 4665 mgmt Agreement
IF NOT, SPECIFY REASON: _____

7. MONITORING

A. EVALUATION (specify year): COMPLETED NO SCHEDULED 1989
IF NEITHER, SPECIFY REASON: will hopefully be completed
this fall

B. HOW FREQUENTLY IS ACTUAL USE DATA COLLECTED? Annually
IF NOT ANNUALLY, SPECIFY REASON: ---

IS SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL ACTUAL USE REPORTS A CONDITION OF THE PERMIT/LEASE FOR THIS ALLOTMENT (check one)? YES --- NO X
IF NO, SPECIFY REASON: permittees submit actual use
each fall as per agreement.

C. HOW FREQUENTLY IS UTILIZATION DATA COLLECTED? annually
IF NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OREGON MANUAL SUPPLEMENT H-1734/2
ADJUSTED AS NECESSARY TO MEET 5-YEAR CLOCK, SPECIFY REASON:

8. IF ADDITIONAL RANGE IMPROVEMENTS ARE PLANNED FOR THIS ALLOTMENT,
SPECIFY ESTIMATED TOTAL COST (\$ 0) AND B/C (---).
(show kinds and amounts of planned improvements under "other" on
pasture background questionnaires)

Worksheets 1 thru 4 completed by:

Mike Woods
name

8/4/89
date

Checked for supporting documentation by:

[Signature]
district range program leader

8/10/89
date

PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE
(worksheet 3)

1. DISTRICT Vale RESOURCE AREA Baker
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 1021 NAME Pedro mtn
3. PASTURE NUMBER 1 NAME Pedro mtn
4. TOTAL ACRES 5,493, PERCENT BLM 23 %, CAPACITY 1,241 AUMS
(according to old survey)
5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
 - A. maintain and/or improve wildlife habitat
 - B. maintain and/or improve ecovite condition
 - C. _____
6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
 - A. OBJECTIVE improve ecovite condition.
CRITICAL PERIOD spring
TREND static to up
 - B. OBJECTIVE improve diversity and height structure of woody plants in riparian zones.
CRITICAL PERIOD summer
TREND up
 - C. OBJECTIVE _____
CRITICAL PERIOD _____
TREND _____
7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water, elevation/season, etc.): see item 9. High elevation in part of p. - late range readiness.
8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.): a lot of multiple use timber recreation (hunting) mining etc. Forest mngt. main watched for Riparian
9. OTHER (specify): The permittee owns 3/4 of the land in this allotment. plus a 1/4 with # 1026 north Dixon Creek

PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE
(worksheet 3)

1. DISTRICT Vale RESOURCE AREA Saher
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 1021 NAME Pedro Neto
3. PASTURE NUMBER 2 NAME Thomson Gulch
4. TOTAL ACRES 4,054, PERCENT BLM 14%, CAPACITY 617 AUMS
(according to old survey)
5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
 - A. maintain and/or improve wildlife habitat
 - B. maintain and/or improve ecovite condition
 - C. _____
6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
 - A. OBJECTIVE improve ecovite condition
CRITICAL PERIOD spring
TREND up
 - B. OBJECTIVE improve diversity and height structure of woody plants on riparian zones
CRITICAL PERIOD summer
TREND up
 - C. OBJECTIVE _____
CRITICAL PERIOD _____
TREND _____
7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water elevation/season, etc.): See item 9. High elevation in p. north facing - late range readiness.
8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.): a lot of multiple use timber, recreation (hunting), mining etc. Forest mgmt. main watershed for Ryd Valley
9. OTHER (specify): The permittee owns 3/4+ of the land in this allotment plus a G.S. with # 1026 north Dixie Creek

PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE
(worksheet 3)

1. DISTRICT Vale RESOURCE AREA Baker
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 1021 NAME Pedro Mtn.
3. PASTURE NUMBER 3 NAME Cave Creek
4. TOTAL ACRES 1942, PERCENT BLM 44 %, CAPACITY 489 AUMS
(according to old survey)
5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
 - A. maintain and/or improve wildlife habitat
 - B. maintain and/or improve ecote condition
 - C. _____
6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
 - A. OBJECTIVE maintain ecote condition
CRITICAL PERIOD spring
TREND stable
 - B. OBJECTIVE _____
CRITICAL PERIOD _____
TREND _____
 - C. OBJECTIVE _____
CRITICAL PERIOD _____
TREND _____
7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water, elevation/season, etc.): see Chap 9, Med. High elevation - little range ready now
8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.): a lot of multiple use
9. OTHER (specify): The permittee owns 3/4 of the land in this allotment. In a G.S. with #1026 North Drye Creek.

PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY
(worksheet 4)

DISTRICT: Valle RESOURCE AREA: Baker
 ALLOTMENT NO./NAME: 1021 / Pedro Wits PASTURE NO./NAME: 1 / Pedro Wits

YEAR	SCHEDULED USE	GRAZING SYSTEM SCHEDULED USE (excluding wild horses)												
		1 Jan	2 Feb	3 Mar	4 Apr	5 May	6 Jun	7 Jul	8 Aug	9 Sep	10 Oct	11 Nov	12 Dec	
1984	758 AUMS													
1985	758 AUMS													
1986	800 AUMS													
1987	820 AUMS													
1988	600 AUMS													

YEAR	ACTUAL USE	ACTUAL USE (excluding wild horses)												
		1 Jan	2 Feb	3 Mar	4 Apr	5 May	6 Jun	7 Jul	8 Aug	9 Sep	10 Oct	11 Nov	12 Dec	
1984	?													
1985	?													
1986	? <u>unseen</u>													
1987	683													
1988	543													

2-9

PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY
(worksheet 4)

DISTRICT: Vale RESOURCE AREA: Belconn
 ALLOTMENT NO./NAME: 1021 / Pedler PASTURE NO./NAME: 2 / Thurston Creek

YEAR	SCHEDULED USE	GRAZING SYSTEM SCHEDULED USE (excluding wild horses)												
		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
1984	1053 AUMS													
1985	1053 AUMS													
1986	870 AUMS													
1987	711 AUMS													
1988	793 AUMS													

YEAR	ACTUAL USE (excluding wild horses)	ACTUAL USE (excluding wild horses)												
		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
1984	? AUMS													
1985	? AUMS													
1986	? AUMS													
1987	874 AUMS													
1988	962 AUMS													

2-5


PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY
(worksheet 4)

DISTRICT: Valle RESOURCE AREA: Baker
 ALLOTMENT NO./NAME: 1021 PASTURE NO./NAME: 3 / Cave Creek

YEAR	GRAZING SYSTEM SCHEDULED USE (excluding wild horses)											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1984												
1985												
1986												
1987												
1988												

YEAR	ACTUAL USE (excluding wild horses)											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1984												
1985												
1986												
1987												
1988												

used with rotation system



AMP/GRAZING SYSTEM EVALUATION CHECKLIST

DISTRICT: Vale
RESOURCE AREA: Baker
REVIEWED BY: CB LW SUMMARY: _____

ALLOTMENT NUMBER: 1021
NAME: Pedro Mtn.
CATEGORY: I RANK: _____

(B=Bad, P=Poor, F=Fair, G=Good, E=Excellent)

GENERAL

1. Complete documentation? B P F G E
Apparently no Act use before 1886 or 1887

2. Appropriate categorization/ranking? B P F G E
Its hard to believe but if Riparian trend ↑ then allot should be M

~~Excess shortfalls~~ B P F G E

ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE

GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND

4. Adequate record? B P F G E

5. Proper allocation? B P F G E

6. Use above preference? B P F G E

7. Appropriate investment? B P F G E
blank

GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS

8. Current and appropriate?

No auth. rep. forms -

B P ~~F~~ G E

MANAGEMENT STATUS

9. Have plans?

B P ~~F~~ G E

~~Appropriate priorities/funding?~~

~~B P ~~F~~ G E~~

MONITORING

11. Evaluation?

B P ~~F~~ G E

12. 5-year clock met? Why if no evaluation?

5yr clock N/A.

B P ~~F~~ G E

13. Actual Use?

Not term of U/P. Apparently only getting since 1986 or 1987.

B P ~~F~~ G E

14. Utilization?

B P ~~F~~ G E

RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

~~1. Cost effective & appropriate?~~

~~B P ~~F~~ G E~~

PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE

LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES

17. Known, useable, appropriate? B P ~~F~~ G E

PASTURE OBJECTIVES

18. Track to LUP? B P ~~F~~ G E
Wildlife may or may not track. Depends on if included in the ecological conditions/riparian objectives

19. Resource objectives or management actions? B P ~~F~~ G E
Riparian more specific than most.

20. Realistic & monitorable? B P ~~F~~ G E

21. Desired Plant Community concept? B P ~~F~~ G E
Need more of OPC in riparian objectives.

22. Critical periods reasonable? B ~~P~~ F G E
Need more specific than "spring", "summer", etc. Particularly since high elevation.

23. Know & use trends? B P ~~F~~ G E

LIMITING FACTORS

24. Physical limitations?

B P ~~F~~ G E

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

~~25. [unclear] categorization, etc?~~ B P ~~F~~ G E

26. Reflected in pasture objectives?

B P ~~F~~ G E

OTHER

~~27. Projects for planned objectives?~~ B P ~~F~~ G E

28. Logical priorities/actions?

B P F G E

ALLOTMENT DIAGRAM & PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY

GRAZING SCHEDULE

30. Treatments reflect objectives?

B ~~F~~ G E

Difficult to judge with amount of flexibility authorized. However, in ^{spring} slips - flogs which seldom do better than maintain, and lots of July-Sept. not good for riparian woody.

31. Objectives sacrificed?

B ~~F~~ G E

Apparently sacrificing public values due to minority land ownership.

~~Projects needed & proper?~~

33. System promote selective grazing? ~~B P F G E~~

see 30

34. System tailored for all critical periods? ~~B P F G E~~

see 30

35. Clustered critical period use? ~~B P F G E~~

see 30

36. Pasture stocking balanced with capacities? ~~B P F G E~~

Old survey shows twice as much capacity in Pedro mtns as in Thornton Gulch pasture but planned and actual in Thornton Gulch more than in Pedro mtns.

37. Too many herds? ~~B P F G E~~

38. Reasonable pasture move sequence? ~~B P F G E~~

39. Effective system? Better options? ~~B P F G E~~

Regarding minority ^{public} ownership - If we can't manage it, we should exchange or sell it.

ACTUAL USE

40. Is system followed? ~~B P F G E~~

Lack of auto. flexibility, limited actual use indicates scheduled sequence followed, but durations and pasture overlaps greater than scheduled.