

ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE
(worksheet 1)

1. DISTRICT Burns 02 RESOURCE AREA Three Rivers 025
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 07046 NAME Landing Creek
3. CATEGORY M DISTRICT RANK Area Rank 51
4. GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND 2 Permitter

A. TOTAL PREFERENCE.....	<u>740</u>	AUMS	<u>4.9m/AUM</u>
B. SUSPENDED NON USE.....	<u>0</u>	AUMS	
C. ACTIVE PREFERENCE.....	<u>740</u>	AUMS	
D. EXCHANGE OF USE.....	<u>0</u>	AUMS	
E. CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (C+D).....	<u>740</u>	AUMS	
F. WILD HORSE ALLOCATION.....	<u>0</u>	AUMS	
G. TOTAL DEMAND (E+F).....	<u>740</u>	AUMS	
H. TOTAL CAPACITY.....	<u>310</u>	AUMS	<u>from eval.</u>
I. RECENT ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE*.....	<u>172</u>	AUMS	<u>10yr. ave.</u>
J. RECENT ACTUAL WILD HORSE USE.....	<u>0</u>	AUMS	
K. RECENT TOTAL ACTUAL USE (I+J).....	<u>172</u>	AUMS	

* IF ACTUAL LIVESTOCK USE SUBSTANTIALLY EXCEEDS CURRENT LIVESTOCK DEMAND (E), WAS IT AUTHORIZED? _____ WAS AN EA PREPARED? _____
IF AN EA WAS NOT PREPARED, EXPLAIN: _____

N/A

5. GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS 2 Permitter
- A. DOES THE GRAZING FILE HAVE A COPY OF A SIGNED AND CURRENT TERM PERMIT OR LEASE? both yes
- B. DOES THE TERM (BEGINNING AND ENDING DATES) LISTED IN GABS CORRESPOND TO THAT SHOWN IN THE FILE (H-4130-1.21G,H,I)? yes
- C. IS BILLING IN ADVANCE, OR AFTER-THE-FACT? Advance
DOES THE AMP AUTHORIZE AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING (H-4130-1.71D)? No
HAS AFTER-THE-FACT BILLING BEEN INCORPORATED INTO THE PERMIT OR LEASE (H-4130-1.71D)? No
- D. IS THE PERMITTEE A GROUP, PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, OR CORPORATION? No, individuals
IF SO, HAS AN "AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE" FORM BEEN FILED? yes
6. MANAGEMENT STATUS

IS ANY OF THE FOLLOWING SPECIFIED IN THE LEASE OR PERMIT (specify year): AMP * CRMP _____ FORMAL GRAZING PLAN _____
IF NOT, SPECIFY REASON: _____

* yes for Smith; no for A. Baker

7. MONITORING

A. EVALUATION (specify year): COMPLETED 89 SCHEDULED 89
IF NEITHER, SPECIFY REASON: _____

B. HOW FREQUENTLY IS ACTUAL USE DATA COLLECTED? Annually
IF NOT ANNUALLY, SPECIFY REASON: _____

IS SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL ACTUAL USE REPORTS A CONDITION OF THE PERMIT/LEASE FOR THIS ALLOTMENT (check one)? YES NO
IF NO, SPECIFY REASON: _____

C. HOW FREQUENTLY IS UTILIZATION DATA COLLECTED? Annually
IF NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OREGON MANUAL SUPPLEMENT H-1754-2 ADJUSTED AS NECESSARY TO MEET 5-YEAR CLOCK, SPECIFY REASON: _____

8. IF ADDITIONAL RANGE IMPROVEMENTS ARE PLANNED FOR THIS ALLOTMENT, SPECIFY ESTIMATED TOTAL COST (\$ _____) AND B/C (_____).
(show kinds and amounts of planned improvements under "other" on pasture background questionnaires)

Worksheets 1 thru 4 completed by:

Roger Hoverman
name

8/22/89
date


Checked for supporting documentation by:

Quinn Lopez
district range program leader

8/31/89
date

ALLOTMENT DIAGRAM
(worksheet 2)

DISTRICT: Burns
02 RESOURCE AREA: Three Rivers 025
ALLOTMENT NO./NAME: 07040 / Landing Creek



PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE
(worksheet 3)

1. DISTRICT Burns 02 RESOURCE AREA Three Rivers 025
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 07040 NAME Landing Creek
3. PASTURE NUMBER 01 NAME Landing
4. TOTAL ACRES 1540, PERCENT BLM 100%, CAPACITY 322 Evaluation AUMS Calculations
5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
- A. Improve forage condition; Increase forage production.
 - B. Improve/Maintain wildlife habitat condition
 - C. Improve riparian habitat condition
6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):
- A. OBJECTIVE Maintain livestock forage production to satisfy full Class 1 qualifications.
CRITICAL PERIOD 5/5 - 6/30
TREND Up
 - B. OBJECTIVE Maintain wildlife habitat in at least present condition and to promote the "bottlebrush" growth form of bitterbrush to keep it vigorous and to minimize deer damage should deer numbers increase
CRITICAL PERIOD after 2/1
TREND Up
 - C. OBJECTIVE Maintain a vigorous understory of perennial bunchgrasses to improve wetland condition
CRITICAL PERIOD 5/5 - 6/30
TREND Up
7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water, elevation/season, etc.): Elevation/season (still to soft to get there by 4/ usually) season (use on riparian veg.) Steep-sided canyon with very important riparian value in bottom
8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.):
9. OTHER (specify): Fences are old, wooden and need reconstructed. Livestock control (from outside to inside) and subsequent unauthorized use is a serious problem.

PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY
(worksheet 4)

DISTRICT: Burns (02) RESOURCE AREA: Three Rivers (025)
 ALLOTMENT NO./NAME: 07040 / Landing Creek PASTURE NO./NAME: 01 / Landing

GRAZING SYSTEM SCHEDULED USE (excluding wild horses)

YEAR	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1983	Rest AUMS											
1984	740 AUMS											
1985	Rest AUMS											
1986	740 AUMS											
1987	Rest AUMS											
1988	740 AUMS											

ACTUAL USE (excluding wild horses)

YEAR	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1983	Rest AUMS											
1984	238 AUMS											
1985	49 AUMS											
1986	251 AUMS											
1987	Rest AUMS											
1988	126 AUMS											

219

Smith usually can't make use of this pasture as the yearlings arrive around 9/5, and

PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE
(worksheet 3)

1. DISTRICT Burns 02 RESOURCE AREA Three Rivers 025
2. ALLOTMENT NUMBER 07040 NAME Landing Creek
3. PASTURE NUMBER 02 NAME Square
4. TOTAL ACRES 2075, PERCENT BLM 92%, CAPACITY 310

Evaluation
AUMS Calculation
(all its wide)

5. LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):

- A. See sheet for landing pasture.
- B. ||
- C. ||

6. PASTURE OBJECTIVES (grazing/vegetation related in priority order):

- A. OBJECTIVE See sheet for landing pasture
CRITICAL PERIOD _____
TREND _____
- B. OBJECTIVE _____
CRITICAL PERIOD ||
TREND _____
- C. OBJECTIVE _____
CRITICAL PERIOD ||
TREND _____

7. LIMITING FACTORS FOR GRAZING SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT (water, elevation/season, etc.): Water, other comments same as for landing pasture

8. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (T/E, WSA, ACEC, etc.): _____

9. OTHER (specify): Same as for landing pasture

In addition, this pasture is not only steep, but brushy & very rocky as well.

AMP/GRAZING SYSTEM EVALUATION CHECKLIST

DISTRICT: Burns
RESOURCE AREA: Three Rivers
REVIEWED BY: CB LW SUMMARY:

ALLOTMENT NUMBER: 7040
NAME: Leading Creek
CATEGORY: M RANK: 51
AA

(B=Bad, P=Poor, F=Fair, G=Good, E=Excellent)

GENERAL

1. Complete documentation? B P F G E

2. Appropriate categorization/ranking? B P G E

Looks like should be I, OK M

I'll stick with I, LUP objectives all "improve" favorable trends apparently due to use at about 1/5 of active pref.

~~3. Fenced shortlands? B P F G E~~

ALLOTMENT BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE

GRAZING PREFERENCE AND DEMAND

4. Adequate record? B P F G E

5. Proper allocation? B P F G E

Actual preference twice capacity & 5 times actual use, apparently only 1 of 2 permittees

6. Use above preference? B P F G E

7. Appropriate investment? B P F G E

see 15 - apparently private boundary fence

assist
~~B P F G E~~
Blank

GRAZING AUTHORIZATION RECORDS

8. Current and appropriate?

B P F G E

MANAGEMENT STATUS

9. Have plans?

Two operators but only one covered by plans. Should have plan for allotment, not for individuals

B A F G E

~~10. Appropriate priorities/funding?~~

~~*See 15*~~

~~B P F G E~~ *sketch*

MONITORING

11. Evaluation?

B P F G E

12. 5-year clock met? Why if no evaluation?

Slippage as planned

B P F G E

13. Actual Use?

B P F G E

14. Utilization?

B P F G E

RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

~~15. Cost effective & appropriate?~~

~~*Apparently none planned but fence reconstruction needed. Apparently private boundary fence.*~~

~~B P F G E~~ *sketch*

~~46. Substituting projects for management?~~ ~~B D F G E~~

PASTURE BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE

LAND USE PLAN OBJECTIVES

17. Known, useable, appropriate? B P ~~F~~ G E

PASTURE OBJECTIVES

18. Track to LUP? B ~~F~~ P G E

*Lup says "Improve", pasture objectives say "Maintain".
Action not carried to pasture.*

19. Resource objectives or management actions? B P ~~F~~ G E

20. Realistic & monitorable? B P ~~F~~ G E

21. Desired Plant Community concept? ~~B~~ P F G E
not used

22. Critical periods reasonable? B P ~~F~~ G E

23. Know ~~& use~~ trends? B P ~~F~~ G E

LIMITING FACTORS

24. Physical limitations?

~~B P F G E~~

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

~~25. Agree categorization, etc.?~~

Appears should be I.

~~B I F G E~~

26. Reflected in pasture objectives?

See 18

~~B F G E~~

OTHER

~~27. Projects for planned objectives?~~

~~B P F G E~~

~~28. Logical priorities/actions?~~

~~B P F G E~~

ALLOTMENT DIAGRAM & PASTURE GRAZING SCHEDULE AND ACTUAL USE SUMMARY

GRAZING SCHEDULE

30. Treatments reflect objectives?

~~B P F G E~~

31. Objectives sacrificed?

~~B P F G E~~

~~32. Projects needed & proper? B P F G E~~

33. System promote selective grazing? B P ~~F~~ G E

34. System tailored for all critical periods? B P ~~F~~ G E

35. Clustered critical period use? B P ~~F~~ G E

36. Pasture stocking balanced with capacities? B ~~P~~ F G E
Planned level would over-obligate.

37. Too many herds? B P ~~F~~ G E

38. Reasonable pasture move sequence? B P ~~F~~ G E

39. Effective system? Better options? B P ~~F~~ G E
Double flip-flop might be better.

ACTUAL USE

40. Is system followed? B P ~~F~~ G E

System for Apr-May, ~~the~~ actual use clusters May-June, used some scheduled rest years.

Landing Pasture full of compromise