

1	NOTES & ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	1-1
2	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2-1
	<i>The Condition of the Parks is Deplorable</i>	2-1
	<i>A Park Grazed by Cattle</i>	2-2
	<i>A Park Not Grazed by Cattle</i>	2-3
	<i>Mt. Diablo State Park’s Purpose for Eliminating Grazing Ignored</i>	2-4
	<i>Public Safety – Another Critical Issue Intentionally Overlooked</i>	2-4
	<i>There Is No Grazing Management at the EBRPD</i>	2-4
	<i>The Grazing Task Force Review Was Politically Motivated</i>	2-5
	<i>Legislative Intervention Required for Environmental Oversight of EBRPD</i>	2-5
3	BACKGROUND	3-1
	<i>The Actual Motivation for Starting the GRTF Review Process</i>	3-1
4	THE NEED FOR THIS REPORT	4-1
5	WHAT IS REALLY HAPPENING IN EBRPD PARKS	5-1
	<i>Investigative Report Topics</i>	5-2
	<i>Focus: Sycamore Valley Open Space – North</i>	5-4
	<i>About Sycamore Valley Open Space</i>	5-4
	<i>Surrounding Open Space</i>	5-10
	<i>Lack of Concern for Public Safety</i>	5-14
	<i>Park Users Are Not The Problem</i>	5-14
	<i>Encounter Incident Examples</i>	5-15
	<i>Intimidation by Cattle on Trails</i>	5-16
	<i>Hazards to Pets</i>	5-18
	<i>Users Avoid Parks When Cattle Are Present</i>	5-18
	<i>GRTF Member Says “No bulls allowed”</i>	5-18
	<i>Extremely Hazardous Conditions Off-Trail</i>	5-19
	<i>Unsafe Fencing and Hazardous Conditions</i>	5-21
	<i>Fence Disposal Hazards</i>	5-22
	<i>Warnings About Snakes But Not About Cows</i>	5-24
	<i>List of Cattle Incidents provided by EBRPD Public Safety Department</i>	5-24
	Severely Degraded Park Conditions	5-25
	<i>Specific Examples of Problem Areas at SVOS-N</i>	5-26
	Excessive Fecal Matter On and Off Trails	5-26
	Destruction of Park Resources	5-30
	Lack of Plant Diversity	5-31
	<i>EBRPD Definition of Plant Diversity is Very Narrow</i>	5-31
	<i>Open Space Comparisons of Surrounding Lands</i>	5-33
	<i>Open Space Adjacent to SVOS-S</i>	5-33
	<i>Open Space Adjacent to SVOS-N</i>	5-34
	Vegetation Damage	5-37
	<i>Tree Damage</i>	5-37
	<i>Plants and Shrub Damage</i>	5-43
	<i>No Wildflowers</i>	5-48
	<i>Stream Bank Vegetation</i>	5-51

*An Assault On Biodiversity
In The Name Of Wildlands & Habitat Preservation*

Friends of Sycamore Valley

February 25, 2002

Way Overgrazed Even by EBRPD Standards	5-56
<i>Residual Dry Matter (RDM) Measurements</i>	5-57
Soil Erosion, Compaction and Hoof Imprinting	5-59
<i>Compaction</i>	5-61
<i>Hoof Imprinting/Trampling</i>	5-62
<i>Terracing</i>	5-64
<i>Excessive Formation of Cattle Trails</i>	5-65
<i>Trailside Erosion from Hoof Shear</i>	5-66
<i>Hillside Erosion</i>	5-70
<i>Gullying</i>	5-75
<i>Mud Skating</i>	5-75
<i>How Bad Can It Get?</i>	5-76
Riparian and Wetland Areas	5-79
<i>Ponds</i>	5-81
<i>Sycamore Valley Open Space - North</i>	5-83
<i>Sycamore Valley Open Space - South</i>	5-86
<i>Morgan Territory – Finley Rd. (Danville side)</i>	5-86
<i>Sunol Regional Wilderness</i>	5-87
<i>Streams and Creeks</i>	5-89
<i>Springs and Seeps</i>	5-93
Damage to RTE Species Habitat	5-94
<i>California red-legged frog habitat trampled</i>	5-94
Proliferation of Exotic Weeds	5-95
<i>Weed Invasion Clearly Correlated to Grazing</i>	5-96
<i>Private Land/Park Land Comparison</i>	5-103
Contamination of Parkland Water Sources	5-105
Degradation Trends	5-106
Hillside Vegetation	5-107
Cumulative Tree Damage	5-113
Cumulative Tree Damage	5-114
Cumulative Shrub Damage	5-118
Cumulative Riparian and Wetland Damage	5-121
Cumulative Soil Erosion	5-123
Cumulative Soil Erosion	5-124
Improvement Trends After Cattle Excluded	5-125
Riparian Area	5-125
East Creek	5-125
East Creek	5-126
East Creek	5-127
Diminishing Wildlife Populations	5-128
Evidence of No Wildlife Migration	5-132
Small Creatures Get Trampled	5-135
Indiscriminate Consumption of Habitat by Cattle	5-136
Destruction of Habitat Triggers Wildlife Migration	5-140
Wetlands and Riparian Area Restoration	5-143
The Truth About Native Grass Restoration at EBRPD	5-144
The Realities about What Cattle Can and Cannot Do	5-150
<i>Ebbie the Super Eco-Cow</i>	5-150
Parkland Management Should Not Be Left To Cows	5-152
The Truth About Fire Prevention	5-152
6 MT. DIABLO – A VALID MODEL THAT EBRPD IGNORES	6-1
Mt. Diablo Vegetation Studies Contradict GRTF Conclusions	6-3

Vegetation Changes Due To Release from Grazing	6-4
Loss of Oak Regeneration	6-5
Grazing Release Not Significant in Plant Overproduction	6-5
Standing Biomass Studies and Fire Hazard Reduction	6-5
Conflicting Grazing Regime Requirements	6-5
Grazing Animal Distribution	6-6
Fuel Loading vs. Flammability and RDM Standards	6-7
Rate of Fire Spread and RDM Standards	6-7
Fuel Moisture Cycle	6-7
Mt. Diablo Studies – General Conclusions	6-8
Mt. Diablo General Plan – Grazing Related Data	6-8
Water Quality	6-8
Large Stands of Native Perennial Grasses Not Present	6-8
Grasslands and Woodland Understory – Loss of Native Grasses	6-9
Proliferation of Exotic Plant Species	6-9
Loss of Native Fauna	6-9
Loss of Esthetic Value	6-9
Erosion	6-9
Riparian Areas Damaged	6-9
Low Rate of Oak Regeneration	6-9
Fire Prevention and Suppression	6-9
Unusually High Ground Squirrel Population	6-10
Mt. Diablo General Plan – Grazing Impact on Ecological Values	6-10
Proliferation of Exotic Plant Species	6-11
Forage Competition and Proliferation of Ground Squirrels	6-11
Water Pollution	6-11
Soil Compaction and Oak Root Fungus	6-11
Range Improvements Detract From Park Aesthetics	6-11
Cattle Are Deterrent to Park Visitor's Entry and Enjoyment	6-12
Degradation and Elimination of Riparian Flora	6-12
Mt. Diablo General Plan – Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	6-12
Soil Erosion	6-12
Hydrology – General Water Quality	6-12
Vegetation	6-12
Wildlife Habitat	6-12
Sierra Club Resolutions on Grazing Leases at Mt. Diablo	6-13
7 UNDERSTANDING THE CATTLE GRAZING CONTROVERSY	7-1
Livestock Industry Myths	7-1
Perspectives – To Graze or Not To Graze EBRPD Parks	7-8
Environmental Perspectives – Traditional (Naturalists)	7-8
Environmental Perspectives – Bovinical (Livestock Industry)	7-8
Maximize the Forage Production	7-10
Fire Threat is the Big Hammer	7-10
The Only Cost Effective Way to Manage Fire Threat is Cattle-Grazing	7-10
All Vegetation Is Fair Game	7-11
Soil Disturbance Is Universally Good for the Land	7-11
Park Users Should Not Be Frightened by Cattle – Never Hurt a Soul	7-11
Wildlife Are Cattle Predators	7-12
Cattle-Grazing Is Based on Sound Scientific Practices	7-13

<i>Complaints of Damage Unfounded – Impacts Are Cosmetic</i>	7-13
<i>No EIR Required – Cattle-Grazing Has No Environmental Impact</i>	7-14
<i>No Cattle-Grazing Studies Ever Completed by the EBRPD</i>	7-16
8 UNDERSTANDING ENVIRONMENTAL BOVISH	8-1
9 ABOUT THE EBRPD	9-1
<i>History of the EBRPD</i>	9-2
<i>The Impetus for the Review of EBRPD Grazing Policy</i>	9-3
10 PRESERVATION -- PURPORTED MISSION OF EBRPD	10-1
<i>The EBRPD’s Primary Function and Vision</i>	10-2
<i>The EBRPD’s Mission</i>	10-3
<i>The Protection of Natural Resources in EBRPD Parks</i>	10-3
<i>Wildlands Management Methods of EBRPD</i>	10-5
<i>Riparian and Wetlands Management</i>	10-7
<i>Vegetation Management</i>	10-9
<i>Soils Management</i>	10-10
<i>Wildlife Management</i>	10-16
<i>Rare, Threatened and Endangered (RTE) Species Management</i>	10-17
<i>Land Use Plans – EBRPD Vehicle for CEQA Compliance</i>	10-18
<i>Has the EBRPD Vision Been Blinded By Bovines?</i>	10-19
11 THE TRUTH ABOUT GRAZING MANAGEMENT AT EBRPD	11-1
<i>District Goes to Court to Fight Doing EIR</i>	11-1
<i>Environmental Impacts and Land Use Plans</i>	11-2
<i>92% of Grazed Parklands Lacking a Current LUP/EIR</i>	11-5
<i>Wildlands Management Practices</i>	11-6
<i>Failure to Administer Grazing Policies</i>	11-7
<i>Grazing Leases Not Enforced</i>	11-7
<i>Grazing Licenses With Unlimited Terms</i>	11-7
<i>Grazing Licenses Issued To Non-Residents</i>	11-7
<i>Grazing License Section 1 - PREMISES</i>	11-7
<i>Grazing License Section 10 - NOTIFICATIONS</i>	11-8
<i>Grazing License Section 13 - LICENSEE PROBATION</i>	11-8
<i>Grazing License Section 14 – LIVESTOCK CARRYING CAPACITY</i>	11-9
<i>Grazing License Section 16 – CHANGE IN LIVESTOCK CARRYING CAPACITY BY MUTUAL CONSENT</i>	11-9
<i>Grazing License Section 17 – EXCEEDING LIVESTOCK CARRYING CAPACITY WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION</i>	11-9
<i>Grazing License Section 18 – RESIDUAL DRY MATTER (RDM) STANDARDS</i>	11-10
<i>Grazing License Section 19 – RANGE READINESS</i>	11-12
<i>Grazing License Section 20 – LAND MANAGEMENT and FORAGE UTILIZATION</i>	11-13
<i>Grazing License Section 21 – WEED AND PEST CONTROL</i>	11-15
<i>Grazing License Section 22 – MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS</i>	11-16
<i>Grazing License Section 26 – SUPPLEMENTAL FEEDING</i>	11-17
<i>Grazing License Section 30 – MOTORIZED VEHICLES AND HEAVY EQUIPMENT</i>	11-18
<i>Grazing License Section 32 – REMOVAL OR USE OF NATURAL RESOURCES</i>	11-19
<i>Park Improvements Are Benefiting Ranchers Not Park Users</i>	11-19
<i>WMP&G -- Non-Existent Monitoring and Reporting</i>	11-21

<i>Lack of Scientific Expertise</i>	11-24
The Costs of the Grazing Program Are Unknown	11-25
Rancher's Cattle Violating EBRPD Ordinance 38	11-25
Failure to Provide a Safe Environment for Park Users	11-26
Employees Fear Losing Jobs Over Criticism of Grazing Program	11-28
Lack of Professionalism by District Management during Site Visit	11-28
12 THE GRTF REVIEW	12-1
EBRPD Grazing Management Review Group	12-1
Public Opinion: Review Off To A Bad Start	12-4
Public Opinion: First GRTF Meeting - A Disappointment	12-8
The GRTF Findings	12-13
No Scientific Data Included in Final Report	12-15
<i>No Commentary Provided By Anyone With Scientific Credentials</i>	12-15
<i>GRTF Members Provided Unqualified Opinions</i>	12-15
Overt Display of Lack of Objectivity	12-29
<i>Overall GRTF Review Strategy</i>	12-29
<i>Refusal to Identify PAC Committee Member Backgrounds</i>	12-31
<i>Failure to Include Outside Experts as Members of the GRTF</i>	12-31
<i>Staff Management Not GRTF Dictated the Entire Process</i>	12-31
<i>EBRPD Staff Fail to Show at SVOS-N Visit</i>	12-32
<i>Whitewashing Parks Designated for Site Visits</i>	12-33
<i>Unprecedented Level of Trail Maintenance during GRTF Review</i>	12-36
<i>Site Visit Schedules Manipulated to Avoid Visits during Wet Season</i>	12-36
<i>Site Visit Summaries Written by Management Staff Not GRTF</i>	12-37
<i>Misleading Representations about Conditions at Sycamore Valley</i>	12-37
<i>Misleading Representations about Protecting Wetlands at Sycamore Valley</i>	12-39
<i>Misleading Slide Presentation of Sycamore Valley from Main Gate</i>	12-40
<i>Misleading Statements Regarding Deals Made with Ranchers</i>	12-40
<i>Adoption of a New EBRPD Goal by Director Lane</i>	12-41
<i>EBRPD Speakers Spoke During Public Input Session</i>	12-41
<i>Obvious Bias Demonstrated During Ranchers Input Session</i>	12-41
13 CONCLUSIONS	13-1
Sierra Club Casts Doubt on District's GRTF Conclusions	13-1
Center for Biological Diversity: EBRPD Violating CEQA & ESA	13-4
CNPS Statement on Cattle Grazing	13-5
The EBRPD Grazing Management Program Is a Farce	13-6
Board and Upper Management Should Be Replaced	13-7
<i>EBRPD Goes to Court to Avoid Grazing EIR</i>	13-7
<i>Conflict of Interest with Ranching Community</i>	13-7
<i>Grazing Management Objectives Lack Specificity & Accountability</i>	13-7
<i>Lack of Honesty and Integrity</i>	13-7
<i>Lack of Leadership</i>	13-13
The Need for Legislative Action & An Oversight Agency	13-13
Loss of Vision and Purpose by EBRPD	13-14
Restoring the Vision	13-14
14 RELATED DOCUMENTS & INFORMATION	14-1

15 GLOSSARY 15-2

Appendix A Residual Dry Matter Measurements (RDM) for SVOS-N 2

Appendix B Negative Declaration (ND) for EBRPD WMP&G Document 2

Appendix C Examples of Failure to Address Grazing Impacts in LUPs 2

<i>Ardenwood Regional Preserve</i>	2
<i>Bishop Ranch</i>	2
<i>Black Diamond Mines Regional Preserve – Original LUP/EIR</i>	2
<i>Black Diamond Mines Regional Preserve – Garaventa Property Amendment</i>	2
<i>Black Diamond Mines Regional Preserve – Clayton Ranch</i>	2
<i>Briones Regional Park – Original LUP/EIR</i>	2
<i>Briones Regional Park – Southwest</i>	2
<i>Briones Regional Park – LUP Amendment (East; Brown)</i>	2
<i>Briones Regional Park – LUP Amendment (Buckeye Ranch)</i>	2
<i>Carquinez Strait Regional Shoreline</i>	2
<i>Carquinez Strait Regional Shoreline – C&H and Fischer Properties</i>	2
<i>Carquinez Strait Regional Shoreline – Waterbird</i>	2
<i>Anthony Chabot Regional Park (ACRP)</i>	2
<i>Contra Loma Regional Park (CLRP)</i>	2
<i>Del Valle Regional Park</i>	2
<i>Diablo Foothills Regional Park</i>	2
<i>Garin Regional Park (GRP)</i>	2
<i>Lake Chabot Regional Park</i>	2
<i>Las Trampas Regional Wilderness</i>	2
<i>Mission Peak Regional Park</i>	2
<i>Morgan Territory Regional Preserve</i>	2
<i>Ohlone Regional Wilderness</i>	2
<i>Pleasanton Ridge Regional Park</i>	2
<i>Point Pinole Regional Shoreline</i>	2
<i>Round Valley Regional Preserve</i>	2
<i>Robert Sibley Volcanic, Huckleberry Botanic, and Claremont Canyon Regional Preserves</i>	2
<i>Sobrante Ridge Botanic Regional Park</i>	2
<i>Sunol Regional Wilderness</i>	2
<i>Sycamore Valley Open Space</i>	2
<i>Vargas Plateau</i>	2
<i>Vasco Caves Regional Preserve</i>	2
<i>Wildcat Canyon Regional Park</i>	2

Appendix D EBRPD Ordinance 38 Violations Resulting from Presence of Cattle 2